From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

То

SAMPSON, Roger, S. Beyer Weaver & Thomas, LLP P.O. Box 70250 Oakland, CA 94612-0250 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 23 March 2006 (23.03.2006)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference IGT1P208E.WO			IMPORTANT NOTICE
International application No. PCT/US2004/030093	International filing da 15 September	ate (day/month/year) 2004 (15.09.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15 September 2003 (15.09.2003)
Applicant			

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

IGT et al

BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

SEEN/CONFIRMED

BY DOCKETING DEPT.

DATE: 5 L BY: ~

TUS

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Philippe Becamel

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 70 90

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Form PCT/IB/326 (January 2004)



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference IGT1P208E.WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/US2004/030093	International filing date (day/month/year) 15 September 2004 (15.09.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15 September 2003 (15.09.2003)
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant IGT		

1.	This international preliminary r International Searching Authori	eport on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the ty under Rule 44 <i>bis</i> .1(a).	
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.		
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following items:	
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
	Box No. II	Priority	
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application	
4.		ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority	

Date of issuance of this report 16 March 2006 (16.03.2006)

Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 90

Philippe Becamel

Authorized officer

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

PATENT COOPERATION TRATY

REC'D 20 JAN 2005

WIPO

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

10:					FUI
	see form i	PCT/ISA/220	31/3	INTERNATIO	TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) se	ee form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
	icant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURTHER See paragraph 2 belo	
1 ''		International filing date ((day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 15.09.2003		
;	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G07F17/32, A63F3/06				
Appl IGT	icant				
1.	1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: □ Box No. I Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II Priority □ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
	whichever expire	es later. Ins, see Form P	CT/ISA/220.		
3.	For further detai	ils, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.		
Nan	ne and mailing addre	ess of the ISA:		Authorized Officer	net Telage.

Kemény, M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7941

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/030093

_	Box No.	I Basis of the opinion
1.	With reg	ard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the i nternational application in uage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	land	opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following puage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With reg	ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ry to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type o	of material:
		a sequence listing
		able(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. forma	t of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	ha: coi	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional bies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/030093

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-48

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-48

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-48

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

PCT/US2004/030093

Re Item I Basis of the report

1. Prior Art

Reference may be made to the following documents:

- D1: EP-A-0 769 769 (BALLY GAMING INT INC) 23 April 1997 (1997-04-23)
- D2: US-B1-6 168 521 (BUNCE ART ET AL) 2 January 2001 (2001-01-02)
- D3: WO 96/18174 A (HENWOOD GEOFFREY VAUGHAN; HUMPHRIES SCOTT MICHAEL (AU)) 13 June 1996 (1996-06-13)
- D4: US 2001/036855 A1 (MEEKINS JOHN P ET AL) 1 November 2001 (2001-11-01)

2. Summary

The application does not meet the requirements of the PCT because the claims do not comprise an inventive step within the meaning of Article 33(3) PCT. Further, the claims are not clear within the meaning of Article 6 PCT.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability

Inventive Step

The claims relate to a large extent to rules for playing games within the meaning of Rules 39.1(iii) and 67.1(iii) PCT. Because nether the ISA nor any IPEA is required to perform a search or examination of these matters in accordance with Articles 17(2)(a)(i) and 34(4)(a)(i) PCT, respectively, these rules for playing games cannot contribute to an inventive step of the claims concerned.

The claims do have some technical aspects or features. Some of these features are listed:

International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/US2004/030093

3.1 Gaming Devices

The gaming devices as claimed or even as described do not go beyond the features of a normal computer properly programmed and set up to play wagering games (RAM, ROM, Processor, Hard Disk, Data and Address Bus, communication interfaces, etc.). Such computers are well-known before the priority date of the present application. Their existence on that date requires no further evidence. Thus, such features cannot add to the inventiveness of any claim.

Further, the application discloses coin validators, bill acceptors, card readers and the like in combination with gaming devices. Such combination is disclosed by D1, figure 1 thereof. This figure also discloses a network interface for a gaming machine, as well as a host computer, corresponding to the described network computer. Reference is also made to figures 3 and 4 of D4.

3.2 Network Computers

Similar reasoning is provided for the network computer. Such computers and the network attached to them are also well known, no further evidence of their existence is required. Such a network computer cannot add to the inventiveness of any claim.

3.3 Linking two Gaming Networks

What does not seem to be claimed but is described in figure 1 of the application is the linking of two remotely distant gaming network over a third network. This, however, is known from figure 2 of D3. Therefore, even if such a subject-matter were claimed, it would be known. This cannot add to inventiveness, either.

3.4 Considering the above, the difference between the subject-matter of the independent claims and the closest prior art as described under sections 3.1 - 3.3 are the rules for playing the game. In this specific case, and considering that the gaming machines are essentially computers, the implementation of these rules for playing games is essentially an act of programming. The relevant person skilled in the art would therefore be a computer programmer.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/030093

As indicated under section 3.1, above, rules for playing games may be excluded from search and examination as they are not technical. Therefore, they cannot constitute a technical problem. However, having such technical problem and solving it in a way which is not obvious to a person skilled in the art is an essential for including an inventive step. Therefore, rules for playing games cannot contribute to the technical character of a claim, and consequently cannot contribute to an inventive step of that claim.

However, implementing the rules for playing the game on the machines as described or claimed is a technical problem which is suitable for the problem-and-solution approach. Considering the closest prior art is a gaming machine and network as described in sections 3.1 - 3.3, this problem would be solved by the person skilled in the art of programming computers in any given way. Therefore, the claims do not include an inventive step within the meaning of Article 33(3) PCT.

3.5 The ISA could not establish any subject-matter in the application which could be considered to provide an inventive step. Such matter would have to be both not excluded by Rules 39.1(iii) and 67.1(iii) PCT and, additionally, not known from prior art documents. It is therefore not clear what part of the application might lead to a positive assessment with regard to novelty and inventive step.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

4. Clarity: Unreasonable Amount of Independent Claims

The application contains 3 independent method claims. This is not reasonable within the meaning of Rule 6.1(a) PCT, and therefore renders the set of claims unclear within the meaning of Article 6 PCT.